UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,353	06/15/2005	Jens Muehlsteff	DE 020312	7346	
	7590 07/02/2007 LLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			COHEN, LEE S	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOK, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,353	MUEHLSTEFF	ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee S. Cohen	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence	e address
Period for Reply	VIC SET TO EVOIDE 31	MONTH(S) OD THIDT)	/ (30) DAVS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may and It will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nis communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 I	May 2007.		
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn f			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 7-10 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.		
,— .	cepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under do d.d.d.	3 (4)	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure			-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/23/06.</li> </ol>	5) [] Notice o 6) [_] Other: _	f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,353

Art Unit: 3739

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Claim 3 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 21, 2007.

The traversal is not found persuasive because the different structural embodiments are mutually exclusive and do not overlap in scope.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,353

Art Unit: 3739

(1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 5, line 20 – "21" should be --22--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 fails to define a system since the only recited structure is the electrode of claim1. Claims 9 and 10 fail to set forth structure to effect the recited functions.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,353

Art Unit: 3739

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Okabe (5,628,729). Applicant's attention is directed to column 3, line 1 – column 4, line 20 and column 4, lines 45-47.

Claims 1, 2, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Van Heerden et al (6,640,118). Applicant's attention is directed to column 2, line 7 – column 3, line 14.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,353 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

LSC June 18, 2007